arXiv:math/0609440v1 [math.QA] 15 Sep 2006

ON SOME RELATIONS BETWEEN GENERALIZED ASSOCIATORS

BENJAMIN ENRIQUEZ

Abstract. Let Φ be the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov associator and Ψ_N be its analogue for Nth roots of 1. We prove a hexagon relation for Ψ_4. Similarly to the Broadhurst (for Ψ_2) and Okuda (for Ψ_4) duality relations, it relies on the "supplementary" (i.e., non-dihedral) symmetries of $\mathbb{C}^\times - \mu_4(\mathbb{C})$ (i.e., the octahedron group $\mathfrak{S}_4$). We also derive relations between Φ and Ψ_2, which are analogues of equations, found by Nakamura and Schneps, satisfied by the image of the morphism $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \widehat{\mathrm{GT}}$.

Contents

Introduction 1
1. A hexagon relation for Ψ_4 2
2. Relations between Φ and Ψ_2 3
2.1. The relation $\Phi(A,B) = 2^B \Psi_2(A|B, -A-B) 2^A$ 3
2.2. The relation $\Phi(A,B) = 4^B \Psi_2(A|2B, -2(A+B)) 4^A$ 4
2.3. The relation $\Psi_2(t_{12}+t_{34}|t_{23}, t_{14}) = 2^{Z-t_{23}} \Phi_{1/2}^{1,23,4} \Phi^{1,2,3} (\Phi^{12,3,4})^{-1}$ 4
References 6

Introduction

For $N \geq 1$, let $\Psi_N(A|b[\zeta], \zeta \in \mu_N(\mathbb{C}))$ be the generalized associator defined as the renormalized holonomy from 0 to 1 of

$$(dH)H^{-1} = (\frac{A}{z} + \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_N(\mathbb{C})} \frac{b[\zeta]}{z-\zeta}) dz, \tag{1}$$

i.e., $\Psi_N = H_1^{-1} H_0$, where H_0, H_1 are the solutions of (1) on $]0,1[$ such that $H_0(z) \simeq z^A$ when $z \to 0^+$, $H_1(z) \simeq (1-z)^{b[1]}$ when $z \to 1^-$ and A, $b[\zeta]$ ($\zeta \in \mu_N(\mathbb{C})$) are free variables. When $N = 1$, we set $b[1] = B$, and $\Psi_1(A|b[1]) = \Phi(A,B)$ is the KZ associator. Ψ_N can be viewed as a generating series for the values at Nth roots of unity of multiple polylogarithms.

In [E], we found some relations satisfied by Ψ_N (the pentagon and octogon relations). As in [Dr], these relations give rise to a torsor and a graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{grtmd}(N)$. We have a Lie algebra morphism $\mathfrak{grtmd}(N) \to \mathfrak{grt}$, where $\mathfrak{grt}$ is the graded analogue of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller Lie algebra ([Dr]). The octogon relation is based on the dihedral symmetries of $\mathbb{C}^\times - \mu_N(\mathbb{C})$.

However, for special values of N, the automorphism group of $\mathbb{C}^\times - \mu_N(\mathbb{C})$ is larger than the dihedral group D_N. These values are $N = 1, 2, 4$. The resulting supplementary relations are: (a) when $N = 1$, the duality and hexagon relations ([Dr]); (b) for $N = 2$, the Broadhurst duality relation ([Br]); (c) for $N = 4$, the Okuda duality relation ([O]) and the relation of Section 1. In cases (a) and (c), the octogon relation is then a consequence of the duality and hexagon relations, as the octogon can be cut out in two neighboring hexagons.

In the second part of the paper (Section 2), we derive relations between Φ and Ψ_2, which are analogues of identities in the image of the morphism $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \widehat{\mathrm{GT}}$ found in [NS].

One can check that all these relations give rise to subtorsors of the torsors studied in [E] and to a Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{grtmd}'(N) \subset \mathfrak{grtmd}(N)$ for $2|N$ and $4|N$. However, the morphism $\mathfrak{grtmd}'(N) \to \mathfrak{grt}$ is surjective if the final questions of [Dr] are answered in the affirmative.

1. A HEXAGON RELATION FOR Ψ_4

For $N \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathfrak{f}_{N+1}$ the Lie algebra with generators $A, C, b[\zeta]$, $\zeta \in \mu_N(\mathbb{C})$, with only relation $A + \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_N(\mathbb{C})} b[\zeta] + C = 0$, and by $\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{N+1}$ its degree completion (the generators all have degree 1). Then Ψ_N belongs to the group $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{N+1})$.

If $S \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ is finite, let $V := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(b[s], s \in S)/\mathbb{C}(\sum_{s\in S} b[s])$. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the group of all the automorphisms preserving S. Then Γ acts on V by $\sigma(b[s]) = b[\sigma(s)]$, and the form $\sum_{s\in S} b[s]\,\mathrm{d}\ln(z-s)$ is Γ-invariant.

In particular, if $S = \{0, \infty\} \cup \mu_N(\mathbb{C})$, then Γ acts on $\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_{N+1}$ and on the set of solutions of (1). In that case, $\Gamma \supset D_N$, where D_N is the dihedral group of order N, and $D_N \subsetneq \Gamma$ iff $N = 1, 2, 4$.

When $N = 4$, S is the octahedron $\{0, \infty\} \cup \mu_4(\mathbb{C})$ and $\Gamma = \mathfrak{S}_4$. Then Γ is presented by generators s, t and relations $s^3 = t^4 = (st)^2 = 1$, where $s : z \mapsto \frac{1+iz}{1-iz}$ and $t : z \mapsto iz$ (here $i = \sqrt{-1}$). The corresponding automorphisms of $\mathfrak{f}_5$ are given by

$$s : A \mapsto b[1],\ b[1] \mapsto b[i],\ b[i] \mapsto A,\ b[-1] \mapsto b[-i],\ b[-i] \mapsto C,\ C \mapsto b[-1],$$

and $t : A \mapsto A$, $C \mapsto C$, $b[\zeta] \mapsto b[i\zeta]$ (recall that $C = -A - \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_4(\mathbb{C})} b[\zeta]$).

Recall that $\Psi_4 = H_1^{-1} H_0$, where $H_0(z), H_1(z)$ are the solutions of

$$(dH)H^{-1} = (\frac{A}{z} + \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_4(\mathbb{C})} \frac{b[\zeta]}{z - \zeta})dz, \tag{2}$$

on $]0, 1[$ with behavior $H_0(z) \simeq z^A$ when $z \to 0^+$ and $H_1(z) \simeq (1-z)^{b[1]}$ when $z \to 1^-$.

Proposition 1.1. *Ψ_4 satisfies the following hexagon relation*[1]

$$(2/i)^A s^2(\Psi_4)(2/i)^{b[i]} s(\Psi_4)(2/i)^{b[1]} \Psi_4 = 1. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let $H_{0^+}, H_{1^-}, H_{1+i0^+}, H_{i+0^+}, H_{i-i0^+}, H_{i0^+}$ be the solutions of (2) in $\mathbf{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \mathrm{Re}(z) \geq 0, \mathrm{Im}(z) \geq 0, |z| \leq 1\} - \{0, 1, i\}$ with asymptotic behaviors $H_{0^+}(z) \simeq z^A$ when $z \to 0^+$, $H_{1^-}(z) \simeq (1-z)^{b[1]}$ when $z \to 1^-$, $H_{1+i0^+}(z) \simeq (\frac{z-1}{i})^{b[1]}$ when $z \to 1 + i0^+$, $H_{i+0^+}(z) \simeq (z-i)^{b[i]}$ when $z \to i + 0^+$, $H_{i-i0^+}(z) \simeq (\frac{i-z}{i})^{b[i]}$ when $z \to i - i0^+$, $H_{i0^+}(z) \simeq (z/i)^A$ when $z \to i0^+$.

Let us still denote by H_0, H_1 the prolongations of H_0, H_1 to $\mathbf{T}$. Then we have $H_{0^+}(z) = H_0(z)$, $H_{1^-}(z) = H_1(z)$, $H_{1+i0^+}(z) = s(H_0(s^{-1}(z)))2^{b[1]}$, $H_{i+0^+}(z) = s(H_1(s^{-1}(z)))$, $H_{i-i0^+}(z) = s^2(H_0(s^{-2}(z)))2^{b[i]}$, $H_{i0^+}(z) = s^2(H_1(s^{-2}(z)))2^{-A}$.

Then we have $H_{1^-} = H_{0^+}\Psi_4^{-1}$, $H_{1+i0^+} = H_{1^-} i^{b[1]}$, $H_{i+0^+} = H_{1+i0^+} 2^{-b[1]} s(\Psi_4^{-1})$, $H_{i-i0^+} = H_{i+0^+} i^{b[i]}$, $H_{i0^+} = H_{i-i0^+} 2^{-b[i]} s^2(\Psi_4^{-1}) 2^{-A}$, $H_{0^+} = H_{i0^+} i^A$. These equalities imply the hexagon relation. □

For completeness, we recall that Ψ_4 satisfies the Okuda duality relation ([O]):

$$st(\Psi_4) = 2^{-A}\Psi_4^{-1}2^{-b[1]}, \tag{4}$$

where st is the automorphism of order 2 given by $A \leftrightarrow b[1]$, $b[i] \leftrightarrow b[-i]$, $b[-1] \leftrightarrow C$. In [E], we showed that Ψ_4 satisfies the octogon equation

$$\Psi_4^{-1} i^{2b[1]} (st^2 s^{-1})(\Psi_4) i^C (s^{-1}ts^{-1})(\Psi_4^{-1}) i^{2b[i]} t(\Psi_4) i^A = 1,$$

---

[1] If $\mathfrak{n}$ is a pronilpotent Lie algebra, $x \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$, then $a^x := \exp(x \ln(a))$, where $\ln(a)$ is chosen with imaginary part in $]-\pi, \pi[$.

but as mentioned in the Introduction, this equation is a consequence of (3) and (4). Together with Φ, Ψ_4 also satisfies a mixed pentagon equation; Ψ_4 satisfies a group-likeness condition, and the following distributivity relations:

$$\delta_{42}(\Psi_4) = \Psi_2, \quad \pi_{42}(\Psi_4) = 2^{b[1]}\Psi_2, \quad \delta_{41}(\Psi_4) = \Phi, \quad \pi_{42}(\Psi_4) = 4^{b[1]}\Phi,$$

where for $d|4$, $\pi_{4d}, \delta_{4d} : \mathfrak{f}_{4+1} \to \mathfrak{f}_{d+1}$ are defined by $\pi_{4d}(A) = d'A$, $\pi_{4d}(b[\zeta]) = b[\zeta^{d'}]$, $\delta_{4d}(A) = A$, $\delta_{4d}(b[\zeta]) = b[\zeta]$ if $\zeta \in \mu_d(\mathbb{C})$ and $= 0$ otherwise; here $d' = 4/d$. The generator $b[1]$ of $\mathfrak{f}_{1+1}$ is denoted by B.

Remark 1.2. (duality for Ψ_2) When $N = 2$, $S = \{0, \infty, 1, -1\}$ is the square and $\Gamma = D_4$. Then Γ is presented by generators ρ, σ and relations $\rho^4 = \sigma^2 = (\sigma\rho)^2 = 1$. The inclusion $D_4 \subset \mathfrak{S}_4 \subset \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is given by $\sigma \mapsto st$, $\rho \mapsto t^2$. In [Br], formula (127), Broadhurst showed the duality relation

$$\sigma(\Psi_2) = 2^{-A}\Psi_2^{-1}2^{-b[1]}. \tag{5}$$

Explicitly, the involutive automorphism σ of $\mathfrak{f}_3$ is given by $\sigma : A \leftrightarrow b[1]$, $b[-1] \leftrightarrow C = -B - b[1] - b[-1]$.

Since $\sigma \circ \delta_{42} = \delta_{42} \circ (st)$, the Okuda duality relation (4) for Ψ_4, together with the distribution relation $\Psi_2 = \delta_{42}(\Psi_4)$, implies the Broadhurst duality relation (5) for Ψ_2.

2. Relations between Φ and Ψ_2

In this section, we set $b_0 = b[1]$, $b_1 = b[-1]$.

2.1. **The relation $\Phi(A, B) = 2^B\Psi_2(A|B, -A - B)2^A$.** Let A, B be free noncommutative variables. Recall that $\Phi(A, B) = G_1^{-1}G_0$, where G_0, G_1 are the solutions of

$$(dG)G^{-1} = (\frac{A}{u} + \frac{B}{u-1})du, \tag{6}$$

such that $G_0(u) \simeq u^A$ as $u \to 0^+$ and $G_1(u) \simeq (1-u)^B$ as $u \to 1^-$.

If A, b_0, b_1 are free noncommutative variables, recall that $\Psi_2(A|b_0, b_1) = H_1^{-1}H_0$, where H_0, H_1 are the solutions of

$$(dH)H^{-1} = (\frac{A}{z} + \frac{b_0}{z-1} + \frac{b_1}{z+1})dz$$

such that $H_0(z) \simeq z^A$ as $z \to 0^+$ and $H_1(z) \simeq (1-z)^{b_0}$ as $z \to 1^-$.

Then $\Phi(A, B) \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_2)$ and $\Psi_2(A|b_0, b_1) \in \exp(\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_3)$, where $\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_2$ (resp., $\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_3$) is the topologically free Lie algebra generated by A, B (resp., A, b_0, b_1).

Proposition 2.1. *Let A, B be free noncommutative variables. Then*

$$\Phi(A, B) = 2^B\Psi_2(A|B, -A - B)2^A. \tag{7}$$

Proof. The Broadhurst duality relation (5) may be written as $\Psi_2(b_0|A, -A - b_0 - b_1) = 2_{-A}\Psi_2^{-1}(A|b_0, b_1)2^{-b_0}$. Then if we substitute $b_0 \mapsto B$, $b_1 \mapsto -A - B$, we get $\Psi_2(B|A, 0) = 2^{-A}\Psi_2^{-1}(A|B, -A - B)2^{-B}$. The relation then follows from the distribution relation $\Psi_2(B|A, 0) = \Phi(B, A)$ and from the duality relation $\Phi(B, A) = \Phi(A, B)^{-1}$.

A direct proof is as follows. $\Psi_2(A|B, -A - B) = \tilde{H}_1^{-1}\tilde{H}_0$, where $\tilde{H}_0, \tilde{H}_1$ are the solutions of

$$(d\tilde{H})\tilde{H}^{-1} = (\frac{A}{z} + \frac{B}{z-1} - \frac{A+B}{z+1})dz \tag{8}$$

such that $\tilde{H}_0(z) \simeq z^A$ as $z \to 0^+$, $\tilde{H}_1(z) \simeq (1-z)^B$ as $z \to 1^-$.

Set $u := 2z/(z+1)$. Then $(\frac{A}{z} + \frac{B}{z-1} - \frac{A+B}{z+1})dz = (\frac{A}{u} + \frac{B}{u-1})du$.

If follows that $G(u)$ is a solution of (6), then $\tilde{H}(z) := G(u(z))$ is a solution of (8). In particular, one checks that $\tilde{H}_0(z) = G_0(u(z))2^{-A}$ and $\tilde{H}_1(z) = G_1(u(z))2^B$. Therefore $\Psi_2(A|B, -A - B) = \tilde{H}_1^{-1}\tilde{H}_0 = 2^{-B}G_1^{-1}G_02^{-A} = 2^{-B}\Phi(A, B)2^{-A}$. $\square$

Remark 2.2. Relation (7) is the analogue of relation $f(\tau_1,\tau_2^2)=\tau_2^{4\rho_2}f(\tau_1^2,\tau_2^2)\tau_1^{2\rho_2}(\tau_1\tau_2^2)^{-2\rho_2}$ in Theorem 2.2 of [NS]. Here $f\in\hat F_2$ is in the image of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})\to\hat{\mathbb{Z}}\times\hat F_2\to\hat F_2$ (in particular, $f\in\hat F_2'$, the commutator subgroup of $\hat F_2$, and $f(x,y)f(y,x)=1$) and $\rho_2\in\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ depends only on f (it is called a Kummer cocycle of f in [NS]). This relation takes place in $\hat B_3$, where B_3 is the braid group with 3 strands.

Since $f(x,y)\in\hat F_2'$, it lies in the kernel of the morphism $\hat F_2\to\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $x\mapsto\bar 1$, $y\mapsto\bar 0$, so there exists a unique $h(X|y_0,y_1)\in\hat F_3$ such that $f(x,y)=h(x^2|y,xyx^{-1})$. In the same way that the map $\sigma\mapsto f(x,y)$ corresponds to the KZ associator $\Phi(A,B)$, the map $\sigma\mapsto h(X|y_0,y_1)$ corresponds to $\Psi_2(A|b_0,b_1)$.

Let $K_3\subset B_3$ be the pure braid group with 3 strands. It contains the elements $x_{12}=\tau_1^2$, $x_{23}=\tau_2^2$ and $x_{13}=\tau_2\tau_1^2\tau_1^{-1}$; $x_{12}x_{13}x_{23}=x_{23}x_{13}x_{12}$ generates the center $Z(B_3)\simeq\mathbb{Z}$ of B_3 and $B_3/Z(B_3)\simeq F_2$ is freely generated by the classes of x_{12} and x_{23}. The relation from [NS] is then rewritten $h(x_{12}|x_{23},x_{13})=x_{23}^{2\rho_2}f(x_{12},x_{23})x_{12}^{\rho_2}(x_{12}x_{13}x_{23})^{-\rho_2}$, which is a relation in $\hat K_3$. The image of this relation in $\hat F_2$ is

$$f(x,y)=y^{-2\rho_2}h(x|y,(yx)^{-1})x^{-\rho_2}.$$

(7) is an analogue of this relation.

2.2. **The relation** $\Phi(A,B)=4^B\Psi_2(A|2B,-2(A+B))4^A$.

Proposition 2.3. *We have*

$$\Phi(A,B)=4^B\Psi_2(A|2B,-2(A+B))4^A \tag{9}$$

with the above conventions.

Proof. $\Psi_2(A|2B,-2(A+B))=\bar H_1^{-1}\bar H_0$, where $\bar H_0,\bar H_1$ are the solutions of

$$(d\bar H)\bar H^{-1}=(\frac{A}{z}+\frac{2B}{z-1}-\frac{2(A+B)}{z+1})dz \tag{10}$$

with $\bar H_0(z)\simeq z^A$ for $z\to 0^+$, $\bar H_1(z)\simeq(1-z)^{2B}$ when $z\to 1^-$.

Set $u:=4z/(z+1)^2$. Then $(\frac{A}{z}+\frac{2B}{z-1}-\frac{2(A+B)}{z+1})dz=(\frac{A}{u}+\frac{B}{u-1})du$.

As above, it follows that if $G(u)$ is a solution of (6), then $\bar H(z):=G(u(z))$ is a solution of (10). Moreover, the expansions $u\simeq 4z$ as $z\to 0$ and $1-u\simeq(1-z)^2/4$ as $z\to 1$ imply that $\bar H_0(z)=G_0(u(z))4^{-A}$ and $\bar H_1(z)=G_1(u(z))4^B$. Then $\Phi(A,B)=G_1^{-1}G_0=4^B\bar H_1^{-1}\bar H_0 4^A=4^B\Psi_2(A|2B,-2(A+B))4^A$. □

Remark 2.4. As before, relation (9) is the analogue of $f(\tau_1,\tau_2^4)=\tau_2^{8\rho_2}f(\tau_1^2,\tau_2^2)\tau_1^{4\rho_2}(\tau_1\tau_2^2)^{-4\rho_2}$ in Theorem 2.2 of [NS].

2.3. **The relation** $\Psi_2(t_{12}+t_{34}|t_{23},t_{14})=2^{Z-t_{23}}\Phi_{1/2}^{1,23,4}\Phi^{1,2,3}(\Phi^{12,3,4})^{-1}$.

Set

$$\Phi_{1/2}(A,B):=G_{1/2}^{-1}G_0,$$

where $G_{1/2}$ is the solution of (6) such that $G_{1/2}(1/2)=1$. Then $G_0(1-z)=\theta(G_1(z))$ and $G_{1/2}(1-z)=\theta(G_{1/2}(z))$, where $\theta\in\mathrm{Aut}(\hat{\mathfrak{f}}_2)$ is the exchange of A and B, so $G_1^{-1}G_{1/2}=\theta(\Phi_{1/2}(A,B)^{-1})=\Phi_{1/2}(B,A)^{-1}$. Therefore

$$\Phi(A,B)=\Phi_{1/2}(B,A)^{-1}\Phi_{1/2}(A,B).$$

Recall that $\mathfrak{t}_4$ is the Lie algebra with generators t_{ij}, $1\le i\ne j\le 4$, with relations $t_{ij}=t_{ji}$ $(i\ne j)$, $[t_{ij}+t_{ik},t_{jk}]=0$ $(i,j,k$ different) and $[t_{ij},t_{kl}]=0$ $(i,j,k,l$ different).

Proposition 2.5. *We have*

$$\Psi_2(t_{12}+t_{34}|t_{23},t_{14})=2^{Z-t_{23}}\Phi_{1/2}^{1,23,4}\Phi^{1,2,3}(\Phi^{12,3,4})^{-1}. \tag{11}$$

Here $Z=\sum_{i<j}t_{ij}$ *is a generator of the center of* $\mathfrak{t}_4$, $\Phi^{12,3,4}=\Phi(t^{13}+t^{23},t^{34})$, $\Phi^{1,2,3}=\Phi(t_{12},t_{23})$ *and* $\Phi^{1,23,4}=\Phi(t_{12}+t_{13},t_{24}+t_{34})$.

As in [NS], this equation implies the pentagon equation, eliminating Ψ_2 from it and from the equation obtained using the automorphism $t_{ij} \mapsto t_{5-i,5-j}$ of $\mathfrak{t}_4$.

Proof. The system

$$(d_z G)G^{-1} = (\frac{t_{12}}{z} + \frac{t_{23}}{z-w} + \frac{t_{24}}{z-1})dz, \quad (d_w G)G^{-1} = (\frac{t_{13}}{w} + \frac{t_{23}}{w-z} + \frac{t_{34}}{w-1})dw \tag{12}$$

is known to be compatible. The pentagon identity is established by considering the pentagon of asymptotic zones $((0z)w)1$, $(0(zw))1$, $0((zw)1)$, $0(z(w1))$, $(0z)(w1)$ in the domain $\{(z,w)|0 < z < w < 1\}$ ([Dr]). We will cut this pentagon in two quadrangles, one of which is $((0z)w)1$, $(0(zw))1$, $(z+w=1, z \to 1/2^-)$, $(z+w=1, z \to 0^+)$.

Let $G_{((0z)w)1}$ be the solution which is $\simeq z^{t_{12}} w^{t_{13}+t_{23}}$ in the zone $((0z)w)1$ (i.e., $w \to 0$, $z/w \to 0$).

Let $G_{(0(zw))1}$ be the solution which is $\simeq (w-z)^{t_{23}} w^{t_{12}+t_{13}}$ in the zone $(0(zw))1$ (i.e., $w \to 0$, $z/w \to 1$).

Let $G_{z+w=1,z\to 1/2^-}$ be the solution which is $\simeq (1-2z)^{t_{23}}$ when $z \to 1/2^-$ and $z+w=1$.

Let $G_{z+w=1,z\to 0^+}$ be the solution which is $\simeq z^{t_{12}+t_{34}}$ when $z \to 0^+$, $z+w=1$. Then $G_{z+w=1,z\to 0^+} = G_{(0z)(w1)}$, where $G_{(0z)(w1)}$ is the solution which is $\simeq z^{t_{12}}(1-w)^{t_{34}}$ when $z \to 0^+$, $w \to 1^-$.

We know from [Dr] that $G^{-1}_{(0(zw))1} G_{((0z)w)1} = \Phi^{1,2,3}$, $G^{-1}_{((0z)w)1} G_{(0z)(w1)} = (\Phi^{12,3,4})^{-1}$.

Let us compute $G^{-1}_{(0(zw))1} G_{z+w=1,z\to 1/2^-}$. If G is a solution of (12), set $\Gamma(z) := [(w-t)^{-t_{23}} G(z,w)]_{w=z}$. Then

$$(d\Gamma)\Gamma^{-1} = (\frac{t_{12}+t_{13}}{z} + \frac{t_{24}+t_{34}}{z-1})dz,$$

so $[(w-z)^{-t_{23}} G_{(0(zw))1}(z,w)]_{w=z} = G_0(z)^{1,23,4}$, $[(w-z)^{-t_{23}} G_{z+w=1,z\to 1/2^-}(z,w)]_{w=z} = G_{1/2}(z)^{1,23,4}$. Therefore $G^{-1}_{(0(zw))1} G_{z+w=1,z\to 1/2^-} = (\Phi^{-1}_{1/2})^{1,23,4}$.

We now compute $G^{-1}_{z+w=1,z\to 1/2^-} G_{z+w=1,z\to 0^+}$. If G is a solution of (12), set $\Lambda(z) := G(z, 1-z)$. Then

$$(d\Lambda)\Lambda^{-1} = (\frac{t_{12}+t_{34}}{z} + \frac{t_{23}}{z-1/2} + \frac{t_{13}+t_{24}}{z-1})dz.$$

If we set $u := z/(1-z)$, this equation is

$$(d\Lambda)\Lambda^{-1} = (\frac{t_{12}+t_{34}}{u} + \frac{t_{23}}{u-1} + \frac{t_{14}-Z}{u+1}).$$

Then the expansions $u \simeq z$ then $z \to 0$, $1-u \simeq 2(1-2z)$ when $z \to 1/2$ give

$$\Lambda_{z+w,z\to 0^+}(z) = \hat{H}_0(u(z)), \quad \Lambda_{z+w,z\to 1/2^-}(z) = \hat{H}_1(u(z))2^{-t_{23}},$$

where $\hat{H}_0, \hat{H}_1$ are the analogues of H_0, H_1 for $(A, b_0, b_1) = (t_{12}+t_{34}, t_{23}, t_{14}-z)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & G^{-1}_{z+w=1,z\to 1/2^-} G_{z+w=1,z\to 0^+} = \Lambda^{-1}_{z+w=1,z\to 1/2^-} \Lambda_{z+w=1,z\to 0^+} = 2^{t_{23}} \hat{H}_1^{-1} \hat{H}_0 \\ & = 2^{t_{23}} \Psi(t_{12}+t_{34}|t_{23}, t_{14}-Z) = 2^{t_{23}-Z} \Psi(t_{12}+t_{34}|t_{23}, t_{14}). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$G^{-1}_{((0(zw))1} G_{(0z)(w1)} = (G^{-1}_{((0(zw))1} G_{((0z)w)1})(G^{-1}_{((0z)w)1} G_{(0z)(w1)}) = \Phi^{1,2,3}(\Phi^{-1})^{12,3,4},$$

on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} & G^{-1}_{((0(zw))1} G_{(0z)(w1)} = (G^{-1}_{((0(zw))1} G_{z+w=1,z\to 1/2^-})(G^{-1}_{z+w=1,z\to 1/2^-} G_{(0z)(w1)}) \\ & = (\Phi^{-1}_{1/2})^{1,23,4} 2^{t_{23}-Z} \Psi_2(t_{12}+t_{34}|t_{23}, t_{14}). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from the comparison of these equalities. □

Remark 2.6. Relation (11) is the analogue of the relation (III') in [NS]

$$f(\tau_1\tau_3,\tau_2^2)=g(x_{45},x_{51})f(x_{12},x_{23})f(x_{34},x_{45}),$$

where $(\lambda,f)\in\hat{\mathbb{Z}}\times\hat{F}_2$ lies in the image of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and $g(x,y)\in\hat{F}_2$ is defined by $g(y,x)^{-1}g(x,y)=f(x,y)$. This relation takes place in the uncolored mapping class group $\hat{\Gamma}_{0,[5]}$ of a surface of genus 0 with 5 marked points; here τ_i,x_{ij} are the images of the standard elements of the braid group with 5 strands B_5 under the morphism $B_5\to\Gamma_{0,[5]}$ (recall that $\tau_i\tau_{i+1}\tau_i=\tau_i\tau_{i+1}\tau_i$, $\tau_i\tau_j=\tau_j\tau_i$ if $|i-j|\geq 2$, $x_{ij}=\tau_{j-1}...\tau_{i+1}\tau_i^2(\tau_{j-1}...\tau_{i+1})^{-1}$ if $i<j$, $x_{ji}=x_{ij}$). Indeed, $\tau_2^2=x_{34}$, $(\tau_1\tau_3)^2=x_{12}x_{34}$ and $(\tau_1\tau_3)\tau_2^2(\tau_1\tau_3)^{-1}=x_{24}^{-1}x_{14}x_{24}$, so that $f(\tau_1\tau_3,\tau_2^2)=h(x_{12}x_{34}|x_{23},x_{24}^{-1}x_{14}x_{24})$, hence (III') is rewritten as

$$h(x_{12}x_{34}|x_{23},x_{24}^{-1}x_{14}x_{24})=g(x_{45},x_{51})f(x_{12},x_{23})f(x_{34},x_{45})$$

which is now an equation in the colored mapping class group $\Gamma_{0,5}$. Now $x_{45}=x_{12}x_{13}x_{23}$ and $x_{51}=x_{23}x_{24}x_{34}$, so $g(x_{45},x_{51})=x_{23}^{\alpha}g(x_{12}x_{13},x_{24}x_{34})$, where $\alpha\in\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, and $f(x_{34},x_{45})=f(x_{34},x_{13}x_{23})$ (no x_{12}^{α} comes out since $f\in\hat{F}_2'$), and using $f(x,y)=f(y,x)^{-1}$ the equation is rewritten as

$$h(x_{12}x_{34}|x_{23},x_{24}^{-1}x_{14}x_{24})=x_{23}^{\alpha}g(x_{12}x_{13},x_{24}x_{34})f(x_{12},x_{23})f(x_{13}x_{23},x_{34})^{-1}. \tag{13}$$

This is an equality in the image of the morphism $\hat{K}_4\to\hat{\Gamma}_{0,5}$, where K_4 is the pure braid group with 4 strands. This image is the quotient of $\hat{K}_4$ by its center, generated by $x_{12}x_{13}x_{14}x_{23}x_{24}x_{34}$. So the image of (11) in $\exp(\hat{\mathfrak{t}}_4/\mathbb{C}Z)$ is the analogue of (13).

The sense in which the relations of this section are analogous to relations in [NS] can be precised as in [Dr].

References

[Br] D. Broadhurst, *Massive 3-loop Feynman diagrams reducible to SC* primitives of algebras on the sixth root of unity,* hep-th/9803091, Eur. Phys. J. C Part. Fields 8 (1999) 313–333.

[Dr] V. Drinfeld, *On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with* $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, Algebra i Analiz 2 (1990), no. 4, 149–181; translation in Leningrad Math. J. 2 (1991), no. 4, 829–860.

[E] B. Enriquez, *Quasi-reflection algebras, multiple polylogarithms at roots of* 1, *and analogues of the group* GT, math.QA/0408035.

[O] J. Okuda, *Duality formulas of the special values of multiple polylogarithms,* math.CA/0307137, Bull. London Math. Soc. 37 (2005), no. 2, 230–242.

[NS] H. Nakamura, L. Schneps, *On a subgroup of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group acting on the tower of profinite Teichmüller modular groups,* Invent. Math. 141 (2000), 503-560.

IRMA (CNRS), rue René Descartes, F-67084 Strasbourg, France
E-mail address: `enriquez@math.u-strasbg.fr`